

UNITED STATES

PARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/23/9, 934
 12/23/98
 BAER
 W
 CA1026

 EXAMINER

SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS 1610 EL CAMINO REAL MENUO PARK CA 94025 DUONG, O

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2155

DATE MAILED: 10/10/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

| Advisory Action | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|------------------|
| | 09/219,934 | BAER ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Oanh L. Duong | 2155 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 September 2001 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | | | |
| PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)] | | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) Z b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t | fee. The appropriate extension fee u | ınder ırth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | |
| (a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | |
| (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | |
| (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a so | eparate, timely filed amendm | nent |
| 5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet | idered but does NOT place t | he |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a) will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | □ will be entered and an ow or appended. | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | , | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-23</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. \square The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disapp | roved by the Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer | nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | |
| 10. Other: | | | |
| | | | |

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicant's arguments of claims 1, 6, 12 and 18 are not persuative. Applicant argues the same issues argued in Amendment A pager #6 and these arguments were responded to in the final rejection.

AYAZ SHEIKH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100